PRESERVED

App F IX-4

Previous References

1935.
(Muzrai

File No. 100-35
Serial Nos. 7-1

Letter References.

195-37-1-7.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for 7th March 1937

SUBJECT.

Re acceptance of a cloth presented by Rao Sahib Narainrao for the deity of Sri Ramanujachariar at Melkote

~~Agree.~~
~~Mr Narainrao H.S. Narasaraghavachar~~
~~Mysore~~
~~H.S. of 26-2-37~~

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29-8-36

To see Muz/Com
no 1181 of 24-2-37
Requesting him to give effect to Gt. order
no 1522 f Muz 347-29-6 dt 7-6-33
Mysore.

Serial No.

Petition dt 7.4.1936 from Mr. Abbe Narainappa
110. New Agrahara - Mysore Complaining
that the decision (dt 22.4.32) from Govt Appl no 6 of
1930-31 re: wearing the Sacred threads
by him for the deity in Sri Ramappa
Swami temple at Malkote remains
not given effect to yet.

(1) On a similar complaint
a report was called for - (Vide report
no C41/33-34 dt 9.9.35 from the M.C.
who had reported that the order would
be given effect to without further —
correspondence and letter dt 14.11.35 to the
M.C. issued from here.) In spite of this,
the orders passed on the appeal case appear
to remain uncorrected if what is alleged in the petn
true. If appd, the petn will be forwarded
to M.C. in continuation of this office
letter no 626 dt 14.11.1935 for ~~further~~
Govt no 110-35-6
~~suitable notice of the delay that has~~
~~occurred in the execution of the~~
~~orders — and to submit a report.~~

GS 6/4/36 7.4.36

(2) 8/4/36

Yes Govt 9/4

9/4

Gen: Secy

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
9 APR. 1936
270

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

Hence ~~forward~~ all a point up on the 4 A for his signature —

TYPING SECTION, 14 APR. 1936 G. GENL. SECTT.

No 1441 of 17-4-36, forward the petitions in continuation of this office letter No 626/Mkz of 4-11-35 for submission of report

Letter no H.C. 76/35-36 of 13.1.1937 from the Mazrai Commissioner stating that the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District will be requested to revise the instructions contained in the Amildars reports of 13-1-36 and the Sub Division Officer's order of 12.5-36 and to strictly carry out the order of Government already passed about the presentation of a cloth to Sri Ramanujachariar on the occasion of Punarvasu Nakshatra Kadle Utsava in the temple of Sri Narayana Swami at Melkote —

6. After hearing both the parties, orders as in Mkz 69/30-31 were passed & communicated to H.C. ~~To~~ this office memo No 1524/Mkz 347-29-6 22-4.32 Raghavachariar ~~prepared~~ filed a review here before Govt — he was

Put up 17/4

Serial No.

informed that there were no reasons for a reconsideration of the orders already passed in the matter. (Vide Govt. No. 339 of 29.8.32). It is in fact Sept. 32 when the orders of the m.c. upheld by Sec. were given effect to. The applicant in Appl. 6 of 30-31 is also found to be the Pattah of S. Rathakrishnan Sannidhi at Melkote and thus he is desiring means of obstruction to the passage of the cloth ordered to be accepted. If appeal the entire records will be returned with the direction that the orders of Govt. already passed in the matter should be given effect to forthwith & that instructions so far issued by the local officers be cancelled in view of their being revised as suggested in para 3 of the P.W.D. & that no cases of persons has obstructed or place obstacles in the course of [illegible]

7. The Sanitation has only given effect to the orders of Govt. confirming the orders of the Commr. No. App. E dated 27 [illegible] (Vide No. 1024 [illegible] 349-29-6). It is not clear.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

678 Re: acceptance by a
by Aiyar Ramanujachariar for the deity
Sri Ramanujachariar — at Melkote

File No. 100 of 1935.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

thinks that Amildar's order goes further than the orders of Govt in the matter.

8) The idea of M.C. and to whom his hands" I see no objection to the acceptance of the cloth provided it is understood as the applicant (the present petitioner viz. Puri Narayana Iyengar) cannot claim any right to use it in any particular manner but it may be obtained for use during the Seva, like all properties belonging to the temple. The Amildars instructions are that cloth should be given to the deity, and the idea of the M.C. should be above has definitely stated that the cloth intended should not be used in any particular manner. As such the recommendation of M.C. that he would instruct the D.C. Mysore District to issue the instructions given by the Amildar and to strictly carry out the orders of Govt may be approved, and the words will also be inserted to bring with the above observation of approval

9) 2/17/24.

10) 18/2

10) Sir. The matter is dragging too long — The D.C. S. see to it that the orders of Govt are given effect to at once —

First Member

19/2

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF REVENUE No. 5232
GENERAL SECRETARIAT 17 FEB. 1936

Re: Presentation of a Cloth to the deity of Sri Ramanuja

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 101 of 1937. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

TYPING SECTION 23. FEB. 1937 SECT.

Letter no 1181 of 24-2-1937 to the Muzrai Commissioner stating that the order of Government communicated to him in this Office memo no 1524 of 22-4-32 may be given effect to at once.

Dfn. Endt. to the petr is forwarded

GS 26-2-37

Endt No 1188 of 26-2-37 to Mr Asuri Naraniengar intimating that the Muzrai Commission has been requested to give effect at once to the orders of 22-4-32.

Petition of 19-2-1937 from Mr Asuri Naraniengar. 118. Nava Agrahara Mysore stating that his usual seva in the temple at Melkote was not performed owing to the issue of a stay order by the Muzrai Commissioner.

If approved, the petition be forwarded to M.C. for disposal

WD 0417—CPB—30 000—9 36

Mag File No. 100 of 1935. | General and Rev Secretariat

Serial No.

Cancel a hint in this office letter no 1181 of 26 2 37. & the petitioners attention drawn to this office Endt no 1188 of 26 2-37 on the subject. R.
C.S. for orders
19/3

Yes.
22/3/

Means of forwarding of the petition to M.C. as he asks in his letter P 6-2-37 needs fresh signature

The petitioners are informed

Done
22/3/

To. The Mag Conn
1294/2 P 22-3-37
forwards the petition 891.

Endt No 1295 of 22.3.37 to the petitioners inviting their attention to the endorsement of 26.2.37 issued from this Office.

B
23.3.37

GENL. & RE
1085
6 FEB 1936
S. MI.

Pol
4/4/36

Mug 100-35-7

[illegible]

[illegible] 118 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 626/[illegible] 100-35-6, [illegible] 14.11.35 [illegible] 5.2.36 [illegible]

2. [illegible] 1.2.36 [illegible] - 3.2.36 [illegible] H 40/33 [illegible]

3. [illegible] 15 [illegible] 6.3.36 [illegible]

4 [illegible]

[illegible] 1.9.30 [illegible] 8/28 [illegible] 22.4.32 [illegible] 6/30-31 [illegible] 14.11.35 626 [illegible]

[illegible]

Forwarded with compliments to the Mysore Commissioner in continuation of this office letter No. 626 D Dis 161/35-36 dt 14. 11. 1935 for

Misc 100-35-6

~~[illegible]~~ submission of a report at early date.

HC 76/35 36
MR.R.C

By order

[illegible]

for General Secretary

Lea dt 22/4/36

Memo

H.C. 76/35-36 forwarded with compliments to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, in continuation of this office no D, Dis 161/35-36, dt 20-11-35 for

~~The action taken in the matter may kindly be reported early.~~ Submission of the report called for early.

M. N. [illegible] 27/4
for Mysore Commissioner

6132

40/33
20/4/36

H. 40/33

Memo

Forwarded to the Sub division officer Munchi Rox in continuation of this office memo No. H. 40/33 dated 7-4 early report.

P
1-5-36

[illegible]
for Deputy Commissioner

GENERAL BRANCH 18 JAN. 1937

H.C. 76 / 35-36 (enclosed Delx file.)

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 19th January 193

Mes 100-35-9

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

L. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARY 16 JAN. 1937

SIR,

Presentation of a cloth to the Sri Ramanujacharya on the occasion of the Punarvasu Nakshatra Kettle Utsava in the Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote.

With reference to your Memo No.1441/Muz.100-35-3 dated 17th March 1936, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.[illegible]10/ dated 4th August 1936 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the petition of Sri Muni Narainiengar on the above subject. The subject matter of the petition has been settled in this office order in Appeal Case No.8 of 1930-31 confirmed by Government in their Appeal No.6 of 1930-31 and subsequent correspondence ending with your letter No.[illegible]/Muz.100-35-[illegible] dated 14-11-1935.

2. The pith of the orders so far passed is that the petitioner be permitted to present the cloth at any time during the progress of the seva which may be accepted by the temple authorities provided it is understood that the petitioner (then the appellant before this Court) cannot claim any right to use it in any particular manner etc. The order issued by the Amildar (Sri [illegible] Gundappa) of the Temple Teshildar while communicating the orders conveyed in your letter of the 14th November 1935 specifying the particular time at which the presentation of the cloth should be made and directing it to be done on the holy, goes further than the order passed in appeal [illegible] Govern

This was very irregular and will be pointed out to him thro Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District.

3. The Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District will be requested to revise the instructions contained in the Amildar's reports dated 13th January 1936 and the Sub Division Officer's order dated 12th May 1936 and to strictly carry out the orders of Government already passed.

4. The entire file of vernacular records with the petition of Mr. Meranichgar is sent for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

dated 4-[illegible]-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore
the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Punarvasu Utsava Melkote Temple report on the petition of
Asuri Narsiengar.

With reference to your memo No.M.C.76 of 35-36 dated 27th April [illegible] calling for a report on the petition of Asuri Narsiengar, I have the honour to state that the facts of the case have already been reported in this office letter No.H 40/33 dated 8th August 35. The records were returned with your memo No.D1 Misc.161/35-36 dated [illegible] November 35 with the direction that your order passed in Appeal Case No.3/33-34 would be given effect to without further correspondence. The Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, was instructed to give effect to your order accordingly.

2. On 1st Feb. '36, Seshavenkatachar filed a petition before this office (through his Counsel) with a copy of order alleged to have been issued to him by the Peshkar, stating that the Amildar had issued orders to the Peshkar directing the wearing of the cloth or scarf by Asuri Narsiengar, on the idol, and praying that the Amildar's order might be modified. As your order passed in Appeal Case No.3/33-34 directed only the acceptance of the cloth but not its use at the time, a stay order was issued by this office on 3rd Feb. '36 pending the investigation of the matter.

3. The records were again submitted by the Amildar with his report No.J.841/35-36 dated 7th Feb. '36 inviting attention to the previous report No.332/33-34 dated 26th July 1910 which had been submitted by this office letter No.H.40/33 dated 8th Aug. '35.

As your order passed in Appeal No.3/33-34 dated 1st Feb. '33 directed only the acceptance of the cloth, the Amildar was asked to give effect to your Appeal order without further correspondence (vide this office memo No. H.40/33 dated 15th March 36 which is in the records).

The Amildar having elicited further instructions in the matter, the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, in his memo No.[illegible]/35 dated 12th [illegible] 36 has issued instructions to the Amildar about the time of the presentation of the cloth by Asuri Narsiengar.

4. The petition received with your memo records are submitted herewith.

"Sd/-"

M. N. [illegible]
for Muzrai Commissioner.

HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 1181 & 7 files 41 Bangalore, Dated, 23rd February 1937.
Muz 100-35-10 240

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
C
Bangalore.

Sir,

Presentation of a cloth to the deity of Sri Ramanujacharya on the occasion of Punarvasunakshatra katle Utsava in the temple of Sri Narayanaswami at Melkote.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.H.C.76/35-36 dated 13th January 1937, stating that you would instruct the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to revise the instructions issued by the Amildar on 17th January 1936 and the orders of the Sub Division Officer dated 12th May 1936, I am directed to convey the approval of the Government to the said proposal, with the observation that the matter has been dragging too long and to request you to see that the orders of Government in the matter communicated to you with this office memo No.1524/ Muz.347-29-6 dated 29nd April 1932 may be given effect to at once. The connected records are returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Government.

MK.3.

Immediate

Section Inam

File No. 100 35

Serial No. 10

No. 1181 Encls / Files &c

To

The Inam Comr.

Sir,

Presentation of a cloth to the deity of Sri Ramanujacharya on the occasion of Punarvasumakshatra Kathe & utsava in the temple of Sri Narayanaswami at Melkote.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. H.C.76/35-36 dated 13th Janu. 1937 ~~on the subject~~ referred to above, I am directed to convey the approval of the Govt. to the ~~state that the matter has been~~ said proposal, and ~~to state~~ with the observation dragging too long and to request you to see that the orders of Govt. in the matter communicated by our letter No. 1524/34 dated 22nd April 1932.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 24-2-37

Signed by Mir Safdar Husain

Stating that you would instruct the D.C. Mysore district to ~~issue~~ ~~wind instructions~~ issue the instructions mind by the Minister on 13.1.36 and the order of Govt. on 12.5.36 ~~[illegible]~~ that the matter has been

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

connected records are returned herewith.

I have etc.,

2/23/21

Secy. to Govt
Gen. Dept.

1188 / Mig 100-35-11

dt 26-2-37

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ 1.4.ಎ.ಟಿ

ಮರ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿ

2.2.4.3.2. ನೇ ಶಿರ್ಷಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಮಾಬಂದಿ 1930-31 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ 6 ನೇ

By Order,

Secretary.

OFFICIAL MEMORAND

No.....

The accompanying Petition Regis

No.... ....dated.....

received at the General and Rev

Secretariat from.... ....

....

is forwarded, together with its enclosures

to the ....

....

. .. . for..... ....

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,

. .. .19 . ....

[P. T. O.

WD 0258—GPB- 1,000—25.8 32

States that his usual seva in Nara-
swami Temple at Melkote was not perform
owing to the issue of a stay order by th
eral Commissioner

rays for detailed enquiry and
avourable orders for conducting their
seva as usual in the said temple.

10/3

General Secretary,
with compliments.

10/3

2/10/31

ಇನ್ನು ಮೇಲೆ ನೀವು ಬರೆಯುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕಾಗದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಂಬರನ್ನು ದಯಯಿಟ್ಟು ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿ

ನಂ. ಆಫೀಸು.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ತಾರೀಖು 27/2/1937

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್.

ಸಂದರ್ಭ :— ನಿಮ್ಮ 19.2.37 ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕಂ ಕಾಗದ/ಅರ್ಜಿ

ವಿಷಯ :—

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಂಡ ನೆಯ ನಂಬರ್ ಕಲಮಿಗೆ ಗಮನಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ರುಜು

ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಹೆಸರು

---

*

— ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಯನ್ನು ನೆರವೇರಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕಾಗದವನ್ನು / ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಗೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

3. ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿನ 26.2.37 ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕಂ 11.2.37 ನೆಯ ನಂಬರಿಗೆ ಗಮನಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೊರಿದೆ.

4. ~~ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕಂ ನೆಯ~~ ನಂಬರನ್ನು ಕಂಡು ಹಿಡಿಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ ; ಅದರ ಒಂದು ನಕಲನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

5. ಮಲಘೂಪಿಗಳು ತಲುಪಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

6. ಅರ್ಜಿಯು—

(i) ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾದ ನಮೂನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಡದೆ,

ಅಥವಾ (ii) ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಹಚ್ಚಿಸಲ್ಪಡದೆ,

ಅಥವಾ (iii) ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾದ ವಾಯಿದೆ ಯೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರ್ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡದೆ,

ಅಥವಾ (iv) ಯಾವ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಪೀಲು ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದೋ ಅಥವಾ ಖರ್ಚಾದು ತರಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದೋ ಆ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಒಂದು ನಕಲಿನ ಸಮೇತ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲ್ಪಡದೆ, ಇರುವ ಪ್ರಯುಕ್ತ, ಅದನ್ನು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ವಾಪಸುಮಾಡಿದೆ.

7. ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರ್ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಾಪಸುಮಾಡಿದೆ.

8. (a) ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬರೆವಣಿಗೆಯು ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಾರದಹಾಗೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ,

(b) ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ,

(c) ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಅನುಚಿತವಾದ ಭಾಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಮಾಡಿದ.

* ಕಾರಣಗಳು ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನ್ನು ಸಂಕ್ಷೇಪವಾಗಿ ನಮೂದಿಸತಕ್ಕದು.—

24/2/07